

- Note. In the previous example one needs

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} i h(i) < \infty.$$

EXPECTED (= AVERAGE)
 THROW HEIGHT

- Irreducibility & aperiodicity are defined as in the finite case: (THEY ALSO MEAN THE SAME.)

the process (def'd by P) is irreducible if

$$\forall i, j \exists k = k(i, j) : P^k(i, j) > 0$$

and aperiodic if $\forall i$:

$$\gcd \{ k \geq 1 : P^k(i, i) > 0 \} = 1.$$

(BETTER WITH
 A, B THAN
 i, j.)

- However, in the countable case irreducibility & aperiodicity do not guarantee the existence of an equilibrium.

(RANDOM JUGGLING)

- consider a 'process defined by $B_0 = A$ and P . Define the first return time to A as

$$\tau_A = \min \{ n \geq 1 : B_n = A \},$$

(44)

and denote the probability of returning to A (at some point) by

$$f_A = \mathbb{P}(\tau_A < \infty).$$

- The ~~process~~ state A is recurrent if $f_A = 1$. Otherwise A is transient.

- Note. The probability of returning to A m times is f_A^m . Thus, if $f_A < 1$, then $f_A^m \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} 0$, i.e. the process does not visit A infinitely many times. (WITH POSITIVE PROBABILITY. ON THE OTHER HAND, $f_A = 1 \Rightarrow f_A^m = 1 \forall m$.)

- Proposition. If the state graph is irreducible and if one state is recurrent, then each state is recurrent.

(IN $< \infty$ STEPS)

"Proof". Because $A \xrightarrow{\downarrow} B \xrightarrow{\downarrow} A$ with positive probability and because A is visited infinitely often with probability one, B is visited infinitely often with probability one. $\square$

- Note. In the one-particle process $r_A = 1$ for each A , i.e. the process is ^{ALWAYS} recurrent. However, if

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} i h(i) = \infty, \quad \text{E.G. IF } h(i) = \frac{1}{i^2}$$

then the expected return time from a state to itself is infinite!

(A STATIONARY DISTRIBUTION CANNOT BE DEFINED.)

- In general, it may happen that

$$\mathbb{P}(\tau_A < \infty) = 1,$$

but

$$\mathbb{E}(\tau_A) = \infty.$$

such a process is called null recurrent.

- If $\mathbb{E}(\tau_A) < \infty$ for each A (sufficient: irreducibility & one A), then the process is positive recurrent.

- Fact.

a) ~~the~~ A positive recurrent process has a stationary distribution.

b) A positive recurrent, irreducible & aperiodic process converges to a unique stationary distribution,

independent of the starting state. (46)

Proof: see Durrett, sec. 1.7.

□

PHILOSOPHY OF α : IF $E(\tau_A) < \infty$, THEN $\mu(A) = \frac{1}{E(\tau_A)}$.

- The basic ^{TOOL} tool for proving pos. recurrence is the

Foster - Lyapunov - theorem.

Let P be a probability transition operator on a countable, irreducible and aperiodic state graph G .

If there exists a function $f: G \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and a finite set $K \subset G$ such that

$$\forall A \notin K:$$

$$Df(A) := \sum_{B \in G} f(B)P(A, B) - f(A) < -\varepsilon$$

for some $\varepsilon > 0$, then the process is positive recurrent.

Philosophy:

- $Df(A) = E(f(B_1) - f(B_0) \mid B_0 = A)$

is the expected ^{ONE-STEP} change in the "energy" of a state

- If $Df(A) < -\varepsilon$ in one step, then

↑
THE EXPECTED
CHANGE IN f

↑
 $f(A)$

the expected long-run change (47)
in f would be $-\infty$ (impossible
because $f \geq 0$).

- Therefore the process must return to K again and again. □

A GENERAL

PROBLEM: FIND A SUITABLE f . (CALLED A LYAPUNOV FUNCTION.)

- Theorem. (Two particles.)

Let the throw distribution $h^j(i)$
be such that

$$\sup_j \sum_{i=m}^{\infty} i h^j(i) \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

Then the process is positive recurrent.

Proof.

Choose m such that

$$\sup_j \sum_{i=m}^{\infty} i h^j(i) \leq \frac{1}{2},$$

let $K = \{ \{i, j\} \mid i, j \leq m \}$,

and let $f(\{i, j\}) = \max\{i, j\}$.

Two cases:

1) If $i, j > 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} Df(\{i, j\}) &= \max\{i-1, j-1\} - \max\{i, j\} \\ &= -1. \end{aligned}$$

$$2) \quad \mathcal{D}f(\{0, j+1\})$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \max\{i, j\} h^j(i) - \max\{0, j+1\}$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^j \max\{i, j\} h^j(i) + \sum_{i=j+1}^{\infty} \max\{i, j\} h^j(i) - \max\{0, j+1\}$$

$$= j \underbrace{\sum_{i=0}^j h^j(i)}_{\leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} h^j(i) = 1} + \sum_{i=j+1}^{\infty} i h^j(i) - (j+1)$$

$$\leq -1 + \underbrace{\sum_{i=j+1}^{\infty} i h^j(i)}_{\leq \frac{1}{2}} \leq -\frac{1}{2}$$

when $j \geq m$, i.e. when $\{0, j+1\} \notin K$.

□

- Recall the equilibrium equation for two particles:

$$\begin{aligned}\mu(\{i, j\}) &= \mu(\{i+1, j+1\}) \\ &+ \mu(\{0, j+1\}) h^j(i) \\ &+ \mu(\{0, i+1\}) h^i(j).\end{aligned}$$

• Theorem.

Let

$$h^j(i) = \begin{cases} (1-a) a^i, & i < j \\ (1-a) a^{i-1}, & i > j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\mu(\{i, j\}) = C a^{i+j},$$

where

$$C^{-1} = \frac{a}{1-a} \cdot \frac{1}{1-a^2}.$$

Proof. ASSUME $i < j$.

Plug into the equilibrium equation:

$$\begin{aligned}\cancel{C} a^{i+j} &= \cancel{C} a^{i+j+2} + \cancel{C} a^{j+1} \cdot (1-a) a^i \\ &+ \cancel{C} a^{i+1} \cdot (1-a) a^{j-1}\end{aligned}$$

$$= a^{i+j} \left(\underbrace{a^2 + (1-a)a + (1-a)}_{= a^2 + a - a^2 + 1 - a = 1} \right)$$

$$= a^{i+j} \quad \text{OK!} \quad \text{SIMILAR IF } i > j.$$

The constant satisfies (BECAUSE $\sum_{\{i,j\}} c\mu(i,j) = 1$)

$$\frac{1}{c} = \sum_{\{i,j\}} a^{i+j} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} a^{i+j}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a^i \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} a^j = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a^i \cdot \frac{1-a^i}{1-a}$$

$$= \frac{1}{1-a} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a^i - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (a^2)^i \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{1-a} \left(\frac{a}{1-a} - \frac{a^2}{1-a^2} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{1-a} \left(\frac{a + \cancel{a^2} - \cancel{a^2}}{1-a^2} \right) = \underline{\underline{\frac{a}{(1-a)(1-a^2)}}}$$

CLOSING REMARKS

51

- The unbounded case is similar for n particles. (LESKELÄ-VARPANEN 2012)
- Both the bounded and unbounded cases can be solved for general $h(i) = \mathbb{P}(\text{throw to } i\text{-th available height})$, and in the unbounded case
$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i h(i) < \infty$$
 is necessary & sufficient for existence. (AYYER ET AL. MULTIVARIATE JUGGLING PROBABILITIES, 2015)

- Open problem. In the ∞ case, ~~let~~ $h(i) = \frac{1}{i^{1+\alpha}}$, where $0 < \alpha < 1$.

Then
$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i h(i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i^{\alpha}} = \infty,$$

so the process is either null recurrent or transient.

Conjecture (Ayyer et al. 2015):

$$\text{null recurrent} \iff n(1-\alpha) \leq 1.$$

↑
OF PARTICLES